

## A comparison of ultrasonically activated water stream and ultrasonic bath immersion cleaning of railhead leaf-film contaminant

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2016 Surf. Topogr.: Metrol. Prop. 4 034003

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/2051-672X/4/3/034003>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

### Download details:

This content was downloaded by: ellenperry

IP Address: 89.202.245.164

This content was downloaded on 02/11/2016 at 11:59

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[Bubbles versus biofilms: a novel method for the removal of marine biofilms attached on antifouling coatings using an ultrasonically activated water stream](#)

M Salta, L R Goodes, B J Maas et al.

[Lithotripter shock wave interaction with a bubble near various biomaterials](#)

S W Ohl, E Klaseboer, A J Szeri et al.

[Bubble size distribution analysis and control in high frequency ultrasonic cleaning processes](#)

M Hauptmann, H Struyf, P Mertens et al.

[The spatial distribution of cavitation induced acoustic emission, sonoluminescence and cell lysis in the field of a shock wave lithotripter](#)

A J Coleman, M Whitlock, T Leighton et al.

[Measurement of field distributions in ultrasonic cleaning baths: implications for cleaning efficiency](#)

I P Marangopoulos, C J Martin and J M S Hutchison

[Passive acoustic mapping of magnetic microbubbles for cavitation enhancement and localization](#)

Calum Crake, Marie de Saint Victor, Joshua Owen et al.

# Surface Topography: Metrology and Properties



## PAPER

### A comparison of ultrasonically activated water stream and ultrasonic bath immersion cleaning of railhead leaf-film contaminant

L R Goodes<sup>1</sup>, T J Harvey<sup>1</sup>, N Symonds<sup>1</sup> and T G Leighton<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> nCATS (National Centre for Advanced Tribology at Southampton), University of Southampton, University Road, Highfield, SO171BJ, UK

<sup>2</sup> ISVR (Institute for Sound and Vibration Research), University of Southampton, University Road, Highfield, SO171BJ, UK

E-mail: [lrg1d11@soton.ac.uk](mailto:lrg1d11@soton.ac.uk)

**Keywords:** cleaning, rail, ultrasonics, green tribology

RECEIVED  
22 December 2015

REVISED  
12 May 2016

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION  
2 June 2016

PUBLISHED  
5 July 2016

#### Abstract


Leaf-film adhered to the railway track is a major issue during the autumn/fall season, as leaves fall onto the track and are entrained into the wheel-rail interface. This results in the development of a smooth, black layer. Presently, pressure washers must be used to clean the residue to prevent loss of traction, which can cause crashes or delays by forcing a reduced speed. These pressure washers consume large amounts of water and energy. In this study, use of an ultrasonic cleaning apparatus equipped with a 100 W transducer is investigated, using a low volume of water in the order of 1 l min<sup>-1</sup>. This was applied to leaf-film samples generated in the laboratory, whose surface properties and thickness were confirmed with optical and stylus profilometry methods. Cleaning achieved by an ultrasonically activated water stream was compared to (a) non-activated water and (b) an ultrasonic bath with comparable power consumption. Cleaning efficacy was found to be much greater than that afforded by the ultrasonic bath; a rate of 14.3 mm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0.37 mm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and the ultrasonic bath only cleaned off around 20% of the leaf-film coverage even after 3 min of exposure.

#### Introduction

Ultrasonic irradiation of a liquid medium is commonly employed in academic, medical and industrial environments to clean materials. The interaction of sound and bubbles can produce many physical or chemical phenomena which interact to remove contaminants from the surface; cavitation resulting from bubble collapse; formation of shear waves; the formation of microjets; erosion, or sonochemical production of oxidants resulting from the dissociation of vapour (Rooney 1970, Maisonhaute *et al* 2002, Birkin *et al* 2005a, 2005b, Leighton *et al* 2005, Turangan *et al* 2008). The most common form of its application is the use of ultrasonic cleaning baths (Leighton 2007). However, this technology has many limitations: the object to be cleaned may not exceed the size of the bath; sizeable and heavy objects may affect the sound field developed in the bath and reduce its efficacy, resulting in 'hot' or 'cold' spots in the bath geometry, such that small areas remain uncleaned; the extreme difficulty in cleaning complex geometries or very rough samples; and the accumulation of non-soluble

contaminants in the cleaning medium, which can potentially be redistributed to other specimens, or other areas of the same specimen.

Recently, a novel cleaning system has been developed that uses the acoustic activation of bubbles within a free flow, low pressure (5 bar or less) stream of water to generate an ultrasonically activated stream (UAS) (Leighton *et al* 2013, Birkin *et al* 2015a, 2015b). The device allows for an efficient transfer of acoustic energy within the water stream, allowing the cleaning activity to be separated from the transducer (Vian *et al* 2015). The forces acting on individual gas bubbles cause them to coalesce and scour the surface, or be pulled towards troughs between asperities on the substrate (Leighton *et al* 1988, Leighton 1994). At the surface interface, the cavitation dynamics of the bubbles induce convection (Offin *et al* 2007) and local shear waves, both acting on the surface contamination and cleaning it in an efficient manner (Rooney 1970). In particular, the cleaning activity produced by the bubbles at the surface results in superior cleaning of contaminated troughs which remain uncleaned even after extended exposure to a water stream (Offin *et al* 2014). The first



**Figure 1.** Example of railhead leaf-film produced in the laboratory.

commercialisation of this technology, StarStream® (Ultrawave Ltd), has proven particularly effective for cleaning rough surfaces, as demonstrated by Howlin *et al* (2015). In the former study, dental bacterial bio-films were removed from contoured surfaces using the UAS. It also significantly enhances the performance of additives to remove oil and grease, although not the goal of the present study (Leighton 2015).

StarStream is designed to place microbubbles at the end of a stream of water, such that where the stream of water (of approximate cross section  $1\text{ cm}^2$ ) impacts the target to be cleaned, those microbubbles are activated by ultrasound and generate shear on the surface. This shear provides an effective cleaning effect. The ultrasound is generated by a transducer and coupled to the stream of water by a horn. Cleaning can even be achieved using only cold tap water, without additives, unless the contaminant is oily or greasy.

In the present study, the applicability of UAS technology to railway traction issues is being explored. During the passage of a train over a rail section, leaf-fall from nearby trees is entrained within the contact patch and crushed into the asperities on the railhead, essentially smoothening the rail surface (Poole 2007). The generation of leaf layers and the low friction conditions have been the subject of various studies (e.g. RSSB's GM/GN2642 and 2643 reports; Zhu *et al* 2014). This film-forming process is responsible for the familiar 'leaves on the line' problem known in the industry as the 'Adhesion Riddle'. This phenomenon costs the UK rail industry ~£50 million each autumn owing to the potential loss of traction between wheel and rail, meaning that

speed must be reduced and delays incurred to avoid potential accidents resulting from decreased braking performance. Multi-purpose vehicles (MPVs) equipped with high pressure water jets, operating at around 1500 bar, are deployed to remove this contaminant. These necessitate a very large volume of water to be carried in tanks, though they set a precedent for the use of water to remove contaminants bound to the railhead. Alternative methods include dispensing sand and traction gels using MPVs in much the same manner. Here, we explore a proof-of-concept for a novel cleaning method, to determine if a possible application in this area can be found, either as a hand-held device or mounted on MPVs.



Asperities on the rail-head exist on the order of microns to tens of microns with a typical  $R_a$  in the order of  $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , and are typically slightly negatively skewed as one would expect from a heavily loaded and worn surface; i.e. the troughs tend to be deeper than the peaks are tall ( $R_{sk} = -0.3$  to  $-1$ ).

## Experimental

The cleaning setup comprised a commercial ultrasonic cleaning bath (Ultrawave Ltd, IND1750D) and commercially produced UAS system (Ultrawave Ltd, F0030001, StarStream; Leighton *et al* 2013). The StarStream device used in this study is a handheld unit, taking cold water and being powered from the domestic mains supplies.

Rail-head samples were produced by cutting sections from used mainline commuter rail. Railhead leaf-film contamination on these samples was





produced using a TE77 Plint reciprocating tribometer. Extensive experimentation yielded optimal conditions for reproducing consolidated leaf film with this rig. The maximum stroke length of 25 mm was used (to

produce the largest area of coverage), at a frequency of 1 Hz, with slight heating to 30 °C (which allowed quicker drying of pulverised leaf matter). Actual rail-head samples proved to be more easily ‘contaminated’

due to mechanical locking with corrosion pits, while samples with mechanical machined surfaces proved much more difficult to ‘contaminate’. A polymeric (PEEK) roller, with a width of 10 mm, was used to reduce the levels of adhesion on the roller surface, which proved problematic when a steel roller was used. Initial experimentation with whole leaves proved ineffective and leaf powder was made by blending whole leaves, with water (for lubricity to avoid clogging the cutting blade). The wet leaf matter was thoroughly dried over several weeks to paper type consistency. This allowed careful experimentation with the levels of hydration of the leaf matter to achieve adhesion, which was a ratio of around 4.5:1 (by weight) of dry leaf matter to distilled water. Rolling contact has to be maintained at all times since the development of sliding would destroy the film. In addition, the test protocol was optimised to: an initial load of 300 N and main load of 700 N, which corresponds to a Hertzian contact pressure of around 120 MPa. When the leaf-film was visibly consolidated the rig was stopped and the ‘contaminated’ sample removed for coverage determination. The mean consolidated coverage achieved was  $\sim 200 \text{ mm}^2$ . The area of well-adhered material was determined by spraying the area using a hand-held spray bottle with distilled water. Poorly adhered material within the roller contact would be easily removed, as well as cleaning loose leaf matter from the surrounding area of the plate. An example is shown in figure 1.

Cleaning with the UAS device was carried out by holding it in a retort clamp with a stand-off distance of 10 mm, perpendicular to the sample surface, and a flow rate of  $0.8 \text{ l min}^{-1}$  of cold tap water. Tests with and without activation of the ultrasonic transducer were compared. During these tests, the sample was fixed to a stationary steel block and the water stream was guided over it, while the transducer was intermittently activated for set time periods. Cleaning in the ultrasonic cleaning bath was carried out by placing the sample at the centre, with no other objects present aside from the bath’s own mesh that was used to support the sample. Cleaning exposure was carried out for up to 180 s, or until no further removal of contaminant occurred, with four to five repeats of each sample treatment performed. Coverage of the surface contamination was determined by intermittent photography of the samples, carried out after each short cleaning duration, followed by thresholding and binarisation of the images using ImageJ software to establish the real cleaning effect. Thickness of remaining leaf-film before and after cleaning was determined using tactile (Talysurf 120L stylus) and non-contact (Alicona G4 optical) profilometer measurements. The binarisation process used to determine coverage also measured corrosion pits, dirt and general grime on the rail head which is present regardless of leaf-film coverage. Typically, coverage from this pre-existing contamination on an area of rail was between 7%–21%.





Figure 4. Height map showing leaf-film edge on railhead sample, demonstrating cleaned versus non-cleaned area.

## Results and discussion

In the case of the ultrasonic bath, a strong initial cleaning is observed, whereby an area of leaf-film is visibly dispersed within 1–2 s of activating the ultrasonics. This corresponds on average to around 20% of the overall contamination coverage. However, the cleaning thereafter is minimal (figure 2); from 15 to 180 s after activation of the transducer, only an additional 3% coverage is removed.

When the water stream was deployed but with the ultrasound turned off, the  $0.8 \text{ l min}^{-1}$  water flow resulted in no cleaning effect over the 180 s exposure period. However, when the ultrasound is turned on, the same water flow produced good immediate cleaning efficacy, removing on average 50% of the contaminated area within 3 s of activation and restoring the area to background levels in 14 s (figure 2). As discussed in the previous section, binarisation of images of a rail-head without leaf-film is complicated by the presence of various dirt, grime and corrosion pits. In order to demonstrate the point at which a rail is restored to this baseline level, the mean level of this background noise is marked by a red line on figure 2. Although cleaning rate decreases exponentially, further cleaning beyond baseline contamination levels is observed beyond 20–30 s of exposure, owing to the





**Figure 5.** Propidium iodide stained leaf film on railhead surface. Scale bar 2.5 mm.

removal of some of the engrained dirt and grime. Progressive removal of the leaf-film is shown in figure 3.

Optical profilometry analysis of partially cleaned surfaces demonstrates that visible removal of leaf-film corresponds to good cleaning performance, which was thorough even in the deep pits (figure 4). In this image, the edge of a cleaned area is shown for contrast against a section remaining covered by leaf-film. In addition, on a separate sample, the organic matter in leaf-film was stained with propidium iodide and imaged using a DeltaVision Elite epifluorescence microscope in order

to show coverage on the railhead steel, which has no inherent autofluorescence (figure 5). This demonstrates the uneven coverage and thickness of the leaf-film. The same area was measured after cleaning and fluorescent output had decreased to background levels, implying removal of the stained organic matter.

## Conclusions

A feasibility study was performed on the application of a novel portable ultrasonic cleaning device for rail

cleaning. A comparison was made with an ultrasonic bath and solely water-based cleaning methods.

The first finding demonstrated by this study is that the StarStream device has been more effective than an ultrasonic cleaning bath at cleaning leaf contaminant from a railway track, even when using cold tap water without additives. The water stream was totally ineffectual; the ultrasonic bath exhibited low cleaning potential with loosely adhered detritus being removed within the first 10 s—around 20% of the initial coverage—but with little cleaning occurring after that. The reason for this is that, whilst a well-defined ultrasonic field can be set up in an empty ultrasonic cleaning bath, that field will be disturbed when items are placed in it, strongly reflecting the ultrasonic field. In contrast, StarStream expects a reflecting target to be placed at the end of the stream, and so introduction of the target does not downgrade its performance. The target area was cleaned in 14 s by the UAS, whereas after 180 s the ultrasonic bath had only cleaned around 20% of contamination within the target area.

The second finding demonstrated by this study is the use of a portable UAS to clean railhead leaf-film contamination, with a mean cleaning rate in the order of  $14.3 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for a low water consumption of  $0.8 \text{ l min}^{-1}$ . In contrast, the initial cleaning rate achieved with the ultrasonic bath was in the order of  $4 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  over the first 15 s; this decreased to  $0.04 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  over the remaining 165 s.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are would like to thank the Rail Safety and Standards Board for funding, and Ultrawave Ltd for their support and assistance with ultrasonic devices.

## References

- Birkin P R, Offin D G, Joseph P F and Leighton T G 2005a Cavitation, shock waves and the invasive nature of sonoelectrochemistry *J. Phys. Chem. B* **109** 16997–7005
- Birkin P R, Offin D G and Leighton T G 2005b Experimental and theoretical characterisation of sonochemical cells: II. Cell disruptors (ultrasonic horn) and cavity cluster collapse *Phys. Chem.—Chem. Phys.* **7** 530–7
- Birkin P R, Offin D G, Vian C J B and Leighton T G 2015a Electrochemical 'bubble swarm' enhancement of ultrasonic surface cleaning *Phys. Chem.—Chem. Phys.* **17** 21709–15
- Birkin P R et al 2015b An activated fluid stream—new techniques for cold water cleaning *Ultrason. Sonochemistry* **29** 612–8
- GM/GN2642 2008 Guidance on wheel/rail low adhesion measurement *Technical Report* RSSB (Issue One)
- GM/GN2643 2008 Guidance on wheel/rail low adhesion simulation *Technical Report* RSSB (Issue One)
- Howlin R P et al 2015 Removal of dental biofilms with a novel ultrasonically-activated water stream *J. Dental Res.* **94** 1303–9
- Leighton T G, Pickworth M J W, Walton A J and Dendy P P 1988 Studies of the cavitation effects of clinical ultrasound by sonoluminescence: correlation of sonoluminescence with the standing-wave pattern in an acoustic field produced by a therapeutic unit *Phys. Med. Biol.* **33** 1239–48
- Leighton T G 1994 *The Acoustic Bubble* (New York: Academic) 640 pp
- Leighton T G, Birkin P R, Hodnett M, Zeqiri B, Power J F, Price G J, Mason T, Plattes M, Dezhkunov N and Coleman A J 2005 Characterisation of measures of reference acoustic cavitation (COMORAC): an experimental feasibility trial *Bubble and Particle Dynamics in Acoustic Fields: Modern Trends and Applications* ed A A Doinikov (Kerala: Research Signpost) pp 37–94
- Leighton T G 2007 What is ultrasound? *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **93** 3–83
- Leighton T G, Birkin P R and Offin D G 2013 A new approach to ultrasonic cleaning *Proc. Int. Congress on Acoustics* vol 19 p 4
- Leighton T G 2015 The acoustic bubble: oceanic bubble acoustics and ultrasonic cleaning *Proc. Meetings on Acoustics (POMA)* vol 24 (Acoustical Society of America)
- Maisonhaute E, Prado C, White P C and Compton R G 2002 Surface acoustic cavitation understood via nanosecond electrochemistry: III. Shear stress in ultrasonic cleaning *Ultrason. Sonochemistry* **9** 297–303
- Offin D G, Birkin P R and Leighton T G 2007 Electrodeposition of copper in the presence of an acoustically excited gas bubble *Electrochem. Commun.* **9** 1062–8
- Offin D G, Birkin P R and Leighton T G 2014 An electrochemical and high-speed imaging study of micropore decontamination by acoustic bubble entrapment *Phys. Chem.—Chem. Phys.* **16** 4982–9
- Poole W 2007 T354: Characteristics of railhead leaf contaminations summary report *Technical Report* RSSB
- Rooney J A 1970 Hemolysis near an ultrasonically pulsating gas bubble *Science* **169** 869–71
- Turangan C K, Jamaluddin A R, Ball G J and Leighton T G 2008 Free-lagrange simulations of the expansion and jetting collapse of air bubbles in water *J. Fluid Mech.* **598** 1–25
- Vian C J B, Howlin R P, Dawson J I, Secker T J, Hervé R C, Stoodley P, Oreffo R O C, Keevil C W and Leighton T G 2015 Cold water cleaning of brain proteins, biofilm and bone—harnessing an ultrasonically activated stream *Phys. Chem.—Chem. Phys.* **17** 10574
- Zhu Y, Olofsson U and Nilsson R 2014 A field test study of leaf contamination on railhead surfaces *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. F* **228** 71–84